

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/722,950	11/27/2000	Richard E. Smalley	11321-P002D1	5035
47744	7590 09/15/2006		EXAMINER	
ROSS SPENCER GARSSON WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
P. O. BOX 50784			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75201		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/722,950	SMALLEY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Elizabeth M. Cole	1771
ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
TE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 6(a). In no event, however, may a rep	
cause the application to become ABA date of this communication, even if tin	NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
action is non-final. ce except for formal matte parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
n the application.	
n from consideration.	
election requirement.	
oted or b)⊡ objected to by	y the Examiner.
rawing(s) be held in abeyanc	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
have been received.	
have been received in Ap	plication No
	eceived in this National Stage
(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
f the certified copies not re	eceived.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/722,950	SMALLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ∠ Claim(s) 35-44,46,47,50 and 51 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∠ Claim(s) 35-44,46,47,50 and 51 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite. <u>20060607</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 09/722,950

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

Page 2

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/30/06

has been entered.

- 2. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f). The specification incorporates by reference "Science of Fullerenes and Carbon Nanotubes" to provide support for the particular nomenclature used. Since this is essential subject matter which is necessary to understand the claimed invention it cannot be incorporated by reference to a publication.
- 3. Claims 35-44, 46-47, 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application

Art Unit: 1771

was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims recite that they are (10,10) carbon nanotubes, but the specification incorporates the essential subject matter necessary to understand this limitation by reference to a publication. Therefore, the specification does not describe the claimed subject matter.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 35-44, 46-47, 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over "Growth morphologies during cobalt-catalyzed single-shell carbon nanotube synthesis" in view of "Collapse and Growth" Nature May 6, 1993, 353, pages 14-15. "Growth morphologies" discloses a material which comprises all single wall carbon nanotubes wherein the nanotubes are in the form of web and/or strings which correspond to the claimed felt/mat and rope. See page 509, last three lines; page 515, first full paragraph. The nanotubes have diameters of about 1.2 –1.3 nm which corresponds to the claimed diameter of about 1.3 nanometers which corresponds to about 13 Angstroms.

"Growth morphologies" does not disclose the claimed number of nanotubes in the rope or the basis weight of the claimed felt. When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention the examiner has basis for shifting the burden of proof to

Art Unit: 1771

applicant as in In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § § 2112- 2112.02. Therefore, since "Growth Morphologies" appears to disclose the claimed ropes and mats/felts in the form of "strings" and "webs", the burden is shifted to Applicant to show that the materials disclosed in "Growth Morphologies" are different from the claimed invention.

"Growth Morphologies" differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose that the nanotubes at 10,10 nanotubes. Applicant has presented evidence that (10,10) nanotubes are not necessarily present in the claimed amount even if single wall nanotubes having the particularly claimed diameter are formed. The specification teaches that laser vaporization produces predominantly single wall (10,10) nanotubes. See page 7, line 19, through page 8, line 9. "Collapse and Growth" teaches in the first full paragraph of the second column of the article at page 14, that high yields are obtained with heated pulsed laser vaporization. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have formed the nanotubes taught by "Growth Morphologies' by the method of laser vaporization taught by "Collapse and Growth", motivated by the expectation that this would produce high yields. Once the laser vaporization method was employed, the (10,10) carbon nanotubes would necessarily be present as the predominant nanotubes.

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "Growth Morphologies" does not disclose the claimed (10,10) nanotubes. This argument is most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 6. The terminal disclaimers filed 6/30/06 are proper and have been accepted.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c